

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Tradesmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,505 03/30/2001		Ronald B. Foster	1610-2002	4889	
-	590 01/13/2003		EXAMI	NER	
Michael T. Ko Navarro IP Lav Suite 655		ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND			
801 E. Campbe	ell Rd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Richardson, TX	( /5081		1745 DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A 9-5	
it(s)	
, RONALD B.	
dence address	
idered timely. ate of this communication. § 133). any	
n as to the merits is 213.	
R 1.85(a). he Examiner.	
(f).	
S National Stage	
provisional application).	

		Application N	lo.		Applicant(s)			
a.		09/821,505			FOSTER, RONALD B.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	· · · · ·		Art Unit			
		Raymond Ale	jandro		1745	1-0-0		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the co	ver sh	eet with the (	correspondence add	iress		
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ve to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, It y within the statutory will apply and will expect the applications.	minimur pire SIX	may a reply be ti n of thirty (30) da (6) MONTHS fron come ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status		Morah 2001						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M		n final	ı				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allows	nis action is no			prosecution as to th	e merits is		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qua	yle, 19	35 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
•	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consi	deration	on.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requi	remen	ιτ.				
	on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	er						
9)LJ	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	or. ented or b)☐ ot	oiected	to by the Ex	aminer.			
10)[_]	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be	, e held i	n abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
   11)□ ·	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∐ app	roved	b) disapp	roved by the Examir	ier.		
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
1	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority und	er 35 l	J.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prinapplication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Sureau (PC1 R st of the certific	ule 17 ed cop	ies not rece	ived.			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a)  The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional app	licatio	n has been r	eceived.			
Attachme								
1) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	!	5) 🔲 I	Interview Summ Notice of Inform Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper N nal Patent Application (P	o(s) TO-152)		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/821,505

Art Unit: 1745

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to a polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell membrane electrode assembly, classified in class 429, subclass 30.
  - II. Claims 20-36, drawn to an integrated circuit based fuel cell apparatus and an integrated circuit, classified in class 429, subclass 22.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because an integrated circuit does not require a polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell in order to operate, that is, a circuit per se can be combined with any other device requiring either automatic or mechanical control means. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing a polymer electrolyte assembly to used in a solid electrolyte fuel cell system for generating electrochemical energy.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/821,505

Art Unit: 1745

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for one group is not required for other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

5. A telephone call was made to Michael T. Konczal on 01/06/03 to request an oral election

to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro Examiner

Art Unit 1745

Patrick Ryan
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700